Bureau of Land Management Winnemucca District Office HRFO (W010)

Categorical Exclusion

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013- 0070-CX

Date: 8/14/2013		
Lease / Case File / Serial #: N-87017		
Regulatory Authority (CFR or Law): FLPMA section 204 BLM Manual: 2800 manual		
Subject Function Code: 2310		
Is the project located within a Preliminary Priority Habitat?	□Yes	⊠No
Is the project located within a Preliminary General Priority Habitat?	□Yes	⊠No
Is the project located within a National Landscape Conservation Syst Wilderness, WSA, ISA, Scenic or Historic Trails)?	tem feature (N □Yes	NCA, ⊠No
1. BLM District Office: Winnemucca District Office		
2. Name of Project Lead: Debbie Dunham		
3. Project Title: Lovelock Cave Facilities ROW		
4. Applicant: BLM		
5. Project Description: (briefly describe who, what, when, where, who BLM is seeking a ROW to protect the facilities and improvement in		ne cave.
Project dimensions (length, width, height, depth): NA Total Acres: 310 BLM Acres: 310		
Will the project result in new surface disturbance? \square Yes \square N	Го	
Has the project area been previously disturbed? ⊠Yes □No If yes, what percent of the project area has been disturbed? 15%. If chas been disturbed, indicate disturbed area on map. Describe disturbed area if you have one):	only part of th	
6. Legal Description: T.24 N., R. 30 E., sec. 12, NENWNE, S2NW, USGS 24k Quad name: Lovelock Indian Caves 100k map name: Carson Sink Land Status: ⊠ BLM □Private □Other	NE, NENE, S	52NE, SE.

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013- 0070 -CX

Applicant: BLM
Project Title: Lovelock Cave Facilities ROW

Part I: Plan Conformance Review
The Proposed Action is subject to the:
☐Paradise-Denio Management Framework Plan
⊠Sonoma-Gerlach Management Framework Plan
□Black Rock Desert-High Rock Canyon Emigrant Trails NCA and Associated Wilderness and Other Contiguous Lands in Nevada RMP
The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable Land Use Plan (LUP) because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):
L-4: To provide lands for rights-of-ways on or across public lands.
The proposed action is in conformance with the LUP, even though it is not specifically provided for, because it is clearly consistent with the following LUP decision(s) (objectives, terms, and conditions):
Part II: NEPA Review Categorical Exclusion Review: This Proposed Action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under:
□43 CFR 46.210 DOI Implementation of NEPA of 1969, Listing of Departmental Categorical Exclusions (<i>formerly 516 DM2 Appendix 1</i>)
⊠516 DM 11.9, (BLM) Realty E. 16. Acquisition of easements for an existing road or issuance of leases, permits, or rights-of-way for the use of existing facilities, improvements, or sites for the same or similar purposes.

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013- 0070 -CX

Applicant: BLM
Project Title: Lovelock Cave Facilities ROW

ESA and BLM Sensitive Status Species

Evaluation Criteria	Yes	No
1. Are species listed under the Endangered Species Act likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in Table 1 below. Verify with USFWS or use approved list.		
2. Are BLM NV Sensitive Species, based upon the current IM, likely to occur in the project area? If yes, list the species in the Table 1 below.		
3. Could the proposed action result in "take" under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act? If yes, attach appropriate mitigation measures.		\boxtimes

Table 1. Special Status Species that may occur in the project area:

ESA	BLM	Common (<i>Scientific)</i> Name	May Be Affected?	Mitigation for BLM Sensitive Species (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization) (Attach ESA Section 7 Compliance to Form, if applicable)
	\boxtimes	Brewer's sparrow (<i>Spizella</i> <i>breweri)</i> Ferruginous hawk (<i>Buteo</i> <i>regalis</i>)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	No impacts to BLM sensitive species are expected by this action.
	\boxtimes	Western Burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
	\boxtimes	Loggerhead shrike (<i>Lanius</i> <i>Iudovicianus</i>)	□Yes ⊠No	

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013-0070 -CX

Applicant: BLM

Project Title: Lovelock Cave Facilities ROW

Table 2. Migratory Bird Treaty Act Consideration

Potential MBTA Species w/in the Project Area Common (Scientific) Name	May Be Affected?	Recommended Mitigation (The following stipulation(s) is/are recommended to be applied to the authorization)
Black-billed magpie (Pica hudsonia) Black-throated sparrow (Amphispiza bilineata) Canyon wren (Catherpes mexicanus) Common nighthawk (Chordelies minor) Common poorwill (Phalenoptilus nuttalli) Gray flycatcher (Epidonax wrightii) Green-tailed towhee (Pipilo chlorurus) Horned lark (Eremophilia alpestris) Lark sparrow (Chondestes grammacus) Northern harrier (Circus cyaneus) Prairie falcon (Falco mexicanus) Rock wren (Salpinctes obsoletus) Sage sparrow (Amphispiza belli) Say's Phoebe (Sayornis saya) Vesper sparrow (Pooecetes gramineus)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	This is a representative, but not exclusive list of birds typically associated with the habitat within the ROW. Raptors would use the area primarily for foraging.
Western meadowlark (Sturnella neglecta) Red-tailed hawk (Buteo jamaicensis) Great horned owl* (Bubo virginianus)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Great horned owls and red-tailed hawks nest along the canals of Lovelock. The ROW could provide foraging habitat.
	☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No	

The Proposed Action has been reviewed to determine if any exceptions described in 43 CFR 46.215 Categorical Exclusions: Extraordinary Circumstances apply. (See attached page)

Part III: DECISION:

I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed project is in conformance with the approved land use plan and that no other environmental analysis is required.

	'A
☐ Based on regulatory authority or law that allows BLM to take action, it is my decision allow for implementation of the project, as described, with the mitigation measures identifiabove and attached as stipulations, conditions of approval, terms of conditions, etc. This is combined NEPA and program implementation decision.	ïed

Authorized Official: \s\ <u>Derek Messmer</u> Date: <u>August 29, 2013</u> (Signature)

Administrative Review or Appeal Opportunities

A person who wishes to appeal to the Interior Board of Land Appeals must do so under 43 CFR 4.411 and must file in the office of the officer who made the decision (not the board), in writing to Derek Messmer, Acting Field Manager, Humboldt River Field Office, 5100 East Winnemucca Boulevard, Winnemucca, Nevada 89445. A person served with the decision being appealed must transmit the notice of appeal in time to be filed in the office where it is required to be filed within thirty (30) days after the date of service.

The notice of appeal must give the serial number or other identification of the case and may include a statement of reasons for the appeal, a statement of standing if required by § 4.412(b), and any arguments the appellant wishes to make. Form 1842-1 provides additional information regarding filing an appeal.

No extension of time will be granted for filing a notice of appeal. If a notice of appeal is filed after the grace period provided in §4.401(a), the notice of appeal will not be considered and the case will be closed by the officer from whose decision the appeal is taken. If the appeal is filed during the grace period provided in §4.401(a) and the delay in filing is not waived, as provided in that section, the notice of appeal will not be considered and the appeal will be dismissed by the Board.

The appellant shall serve a copy of the notice of appeal and any statements of reason, written arguments, or briefs under §4.413 on each adverse party named in the decision from which the appeal is taken and on the Office of the Solicitor, Pacific Southwest Regional Solicitor, U.S. Department of the Interior, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E-2753, Sacramento, California 95825-1890. Service must be accompanied by personally serving a copy to the party or by sending the document by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the address of record in the bureau, no later than 15 days after filing the document.

CX#: DOI-BLM-NV-W010-2013- 0070 -CX

Applicant: BLM

Project Title: Lovelock Cave Facilities ROW

In addition, within thirty (30) days of receipt of this decision you have the right to file a petition for a stay together with your appeal in accordance with the regulations at 43 CFR 4.21. The petition must be served upon the same parties specified above.

Pursuant to 43 CFR 4.47I(c), a petition for stay, if filed, must show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- (3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and,
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

43 CFR 4.471 (d) provides that the appellant requesting a stay bears the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

At the conclusion of any document that a party must serve, the party or its representative must sign a written statement certifying that service has been or will be made in accordance with the applicable rules and specifying the date and manner of such service (43 CFR 4.422(c)(2)).